Exhibit V

VOL. I PAGES 1-124 EXHIBITS 1-20

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS
C.A. NO.: 04-CV-11340DPW

STEPHEN KEEFE,

PLAINTIFF,

VS

LOCALS 805, INTERNATIONAL LONGSHOREMEN'S ASSOCIATION, AFL-CIO, ET. AL,

DEFENDANTS.

DEPOSITION OF STEPHEN KEEFE, taken on behalf of the Defendants, pursuant to the applicable provisions of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, before Bernadette J. D'Alelio, Notary Public and Court Reporter within and for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, at the Offices of Mullen & McGourty, 52 Temple Place, Boston, Massachusetts, on May 8, 2006, at 10:01 a.m., as follows:

Page 1

Page 106	Page 108
1 A. You would have to talk to my brother. 1 Q. That is what the certified-mail c	ard
2 I have nothing to do with that. 2 is?	
3 Q. Prior to your suspension, do you 3 A. It coincides with that, yes.	
4 contend that you weren't given written notice 4 Q. In reading Exhibit 18, do you	•
5 about the specific charges that you were being 5 understand what is being stated in that	letter?
6 summoned to by the rules committee? 6 A. Yeah.	101101:
7 A. I never received anything from Joe 7 Q. Did you understand it when you	read
8 Picard at my post-office box. 8 it?	Touci
9 Q. Even that letter you signed for, which 9 A. Yes.	
10 is marked 10 Q. And you got that on February 1	Rth·is
11 A. This one, I received. This other 11 that right?	July 15
12 stuff I've never received anything from Joe 12 A. Yup.	
13 Picard. I received stuff from McGaff. 13 Q. That is about two weeks prior to	the
14 Q. About what? 14 meeting?	, LIIC
15 A. I don't know what – I don't know what 15 A. Yes.	
16 it was for. 16 Q. Do you contend that two weeks'	notice
Q. When you went to the rules committee 17 is an unreasonable amount of time for	
18 on March 5, to that meeting, why did you go? 18 summoned to a meeting?	, 04 10 50
19 A. I was told to go. 19 A. No.	
20 Q. By who? 20 Q. When you got into the hearing of	r the
	Ou were
A. By the rules committee. 21 meeting, you waited outside and then y Q. In what manner were you told, verbally 22 summoned in; is that right?	04 W010
23 or in writing? 23 A. Yes.	
24 A. In writing. 24 Q. Given what you've read from Ex	khibit
Page 107	Page 109
1 Q. So that would be Exhibit 18; is that 1 18, do you recall any discussion as to w	- 1
2 right? 2 point of view being called to the meet	
3 A. Yes. 3 A. I don't remember anything about	
4 Q. What does Exhibit 18 say? Can you 4 they were saying at the meeting.	wпас
5 read that into the record, please? 5 Q. Did you go with an attorney to the	
6 A. The whole thing? 6 A. No.	.C
7 Q. Yeah. 7 Q. At the time, Mr. Lathrop was	
8 A. "The Allied rules committee, who are 8 representing you, though, wasn't he?	
9 empowered by Rule Number 37 of the hiring hall 9 A. Yeah, I think so, right. Yeah.	
10 rules, are hereby notifying you to appear 10 Q. Did you inform the rules commit	tee on
before them. The committee summons you on this 11 March 5, 2005, that you were working f	
12 date of March 5, 2003, at 12 noon to appear at 12 T. Clark as a consultant?	
13 the hiring hall. The purpose of this hearing 13 A. I don't know if I said that.	
14 is for you to show just cause as to why you 14 Q. Did you inform the rules commit	tee. or
15 should not be suspended and placed into Gang 15 did you state to the rules committee that	- 1
16 12. Please bring with you any resignation, any 16 knew of your employment at John T. Cl	
17 retirement papers, tax returns or any other 17 allowed you to move up from 12 to 11?	
18 documents that you deem pertinent. We have 18 A. I don't remember.	
19 found you to be in violation of not honoring 19 Q. If you admitted that you were em	ployed
20 the pledge that you signed. Failure to appear 20 by Clark at that rules committee meetin	
21 at this hearing could also result in a 21 you have any memory of saying you we	
22 sanction." 22 resign your position because you wanted	
Q. And you got that letter? 23 in Gang 10?	-
24 A. I think I got that one, yeah. 24 A. I don't remember.	

. hnf77da6_8519_41ah_0222_1851c621ha02